

climate choices participant questionnaire

Now that you've had a chance to participate in a forum on this issue, we'd like to know what you are thinking. Your perspectives, along with those of thousands of others who participated in these forums, will be part of any reporting the National Issues Forums Institute does on this issue to officeholders, members of the media, and others, including those who took part in the forums.



1. Do you agree or disagree with the statements below?

Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. It's not possible to curb CO ₂ emissions without much stronger governmental regulation and enforcement.					
b. We should rely on innovation and adaptation—not regulation—to address climate change.					
c. Climate change will cause damaging changes for me and my community in my lifetime.					
d. Since the US is one of the world's largest greenhouse gas producers, it should take the lead in reducing emissions of CO ₂ .					
e. Taking action to deal with climate change will seriously harm the US economy.					
f. Taxpayers should not have to bail out people who choose to live in areas likely to be affected by sea-level rise, wildfires, flooding and other problems caused by climate change.					

2. Do you favor or oppose the following actions?

Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Give companies incentives to develop technologies that reduce CO ₂ emissions EVEN IF that means government interfering in the private sector.					
b. Encourage communities to build independent power grids and increase local agriculture EVEN IF it makes power and food more expensive.					
c. Encourage Americans to use less energy by taxing fossil fuels, EVEN IF this burdens poor Americans by increasing the costs of basic necessities and commuting to their jobs.					
d. Build levees and rebuild water systems, roads and transit to protect against flooding, EVEN IF these changes mar the landscape and provide only limited protection.					
e. Ease the rules for bringing new "green" technologies to the market EVEN IF there's a chance they could harm human health and safety.					

